## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMMY MARTIN : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff :

: NO. 20-5760

**v.** 

:

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting : Commissioner of Social Security : Defendant :

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 31<sup>st</sup> day of October 2022, upon careful and independent consideration of the administrative record, [ECF 12], the brief in support of review filed by Plaintiff, Defendant's response thereto, and Plaintiff's reply [ECFs 13–15], and the *Report and Recommendation* (the "R&R") issued on October 11, 2022, by the Honorable David R. Strawbridge, United States Magistrate Judge, [ECF 17], to which no objections were filed, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Plaintiff's Request for Review is **DENIED**;
- 3. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the decision of the Acting Commissioner of Social Security is **AFFIRMED**, and
- 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this matter **CLOSED**.

## BY THE COURT:

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO Judge, United States District Court

As noted, neither Plaintiff nor the Acting Commissioner filed any objection and/or response to the *Report and Recommendation* (the "R&R"). In the absence of any objections, this Court reviewed the R&R under the "plain error" standard. *See Facyson v. Barnhart*, 2003 WL 22436274, at \*2 (E.D. Pa. May 30, 2003). Under this plain error standard of review, an R&R should only be rejected if the magistrate judge commits an error that was "(1) clear or obvious, (2) affect[ed] 'substantial rights,' and (3) seriously affected the fairness, integrity or public reputation of judicial proceedings." *Leyva v. Williams*, 504 F.3d 357, 363 (3d Cir. 2007) (internal quotations and citations omitted). Here, after a thorough review of the record and the R&R, this Court finds no error was committed by the Magistrate Judge and, therefore, adopts the R&R in its entirety.